# Texas Education Agency <br> 2014-15 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools <br> Campus Name: INSTR \& GUIDE CTR 

Campus ID: 214903003
District Name: ROMA ISD

## Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2014-15 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

## There is no data for this campus.

## Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2014-15 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.






Source: 2015 Accountability Federal System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools
Priority schools are the lowest 5\% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than $60 \%$, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance.Focus schools are $10 \%$ of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of $75 \%$.

Priority School Identification: No Focus School Identification: No

Priority School Reason: N/A
Focus School Reason: N/A

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top $25 \%$ in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top $25 \%$ of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards.

High Performing School: No
High Progress School: No
Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

## Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

## Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

|  | -------------- Campus --------------- |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number | Percent | District <br> Percent | State <br> Percent |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Bachelors | 2.0 | $40.0 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | $75.1 \%$ |
| Masters | 3.0 | $60.0 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports
The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

## Low Poverty

## Core Academic Subject Areas

|  | General <br> Education | Special <br> Education | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Number of Teachers |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Classes |  | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified | Number | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| Teachers | Percent | $100.00 \%$ | 25 |  |
| Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teachers | Percent | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

|  | -----------Number of Teachers ----------- <br> Elem <br> secondary <br> (7-12) | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Emergency (for certified personnel) | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency (for uncertified personnel) | 0 | 0 |
| Non-renewable | 0 | 0 |
| Temporary Classroom Assignment | 0 | 0 |
| District Teaching | 0 | 0 |
| Temporary | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

|  | ----------- Number of Teachers ----------- |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | General Education | Special Education |
| Highly Qualified | 0 | 0 |
| Not Highly Qualified | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

## Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2011-12 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2012-13 academic year.

| Year Enrolled in Higher Education | Campus | District | State |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $*$ | $70.5 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | - | $64.0 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ |

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

| Grade | Subject | Student Group | \% Below Basic | \% <br> At or Above Basic | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { At or Above } \\ \text { Proficient } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% At or Above Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | Reading | Overall | 36 | 64 | 31 | 7 |
|  |  | American Indian | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Asian | 13 | 87 | 66 | 30 |
|  |  | Black | 49 | 51 | 17 | 2 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 44 | 56 | 22 | 3 |
|  |  | White | 18 | 82 | 50 | 13 |
|  |  | Students with Disabilities | 71 | 29 | 11 | 2 |
|  |  | English Language Learners | 59 | 41 | 12 | 2 |
|  |  | National School Lunch | 46 | 54 | 20 | 3 |
|  |  | Program |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mathematics | Overall | 14 | 86 | 44 | 8 |
|  |  | American Indian | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 97 | 82 | 36 |
|  |  | Black | 24 | 76 | 29 | 2 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 16 | 84 | 37 | 4 |
|  |  | White | 7 | 93 | 60 | 15 |
|  |  | Students with Disabilities | 41 | 59 | 18 | 2 |
|  |  | English Language Learners | 23 | 77 | 28 | 2 |
|  |  | National School Lunch | 19 | 81 | 30 | 2 |
|  |  | Program |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 | Reading | Overall | 28 | 72 | 28 | 2 |
|  |  | American Indian | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Asian | 12 | 88 | 55 | 12 |
|  |  | Black | 38 | 62 | 19 | 2 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 35 | 65 | 19 | 1 |
|  |  | White | 14 | 86 | 43 | 4 |
|  |  | Students with Disabilities | 70 | 30 | 5 | n/a |
|  |  | English Language Learners | 71 | 29 | 2 | n/a |
|  |  | National School Lunch Program | 36 | 64 | 18 | 1 |
|  | Mathematics | Overall | 25 | 75 | $32$ |  |
|  |  | American Indian | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  | Asian | 5 | 95 | 67 | 25 |
|  |  | Black | 43 | 57 | 16 | 2 |
|  |  | Hispanic | 31 | 69 | 23 | 4 |
|  |  | White | 12 | 88 | 48 | 12 |
|  |  | Students with Disabilities | 62 | 38 | 8 | 1 |
|  |  | English Language Learners | 60 | 40 | 6 | n/a |
|  |  | National School Lunch | 34 | 66 | 20 | 3 |
|  |  | Program |  |  |  |  |

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

| Grade | Subject | Student Group | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade 4 | Reading | Students with Disabilities | 72 |
|  |  | Limited English Proficient | 92 |
|  | Mathematics | Students with Disabilities <br>  | Limited English Proficient |
|  |  | 80 |  |
|  |  |  | 95 |


| Grade | Subject | Student Group | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade 8 | Reading | Students with Disabilities <br> Limited English Proficient | 81 |
|  | Mathematics | Students with Disabilities <br> Limited English Proficient | 95 |
|  |  |  | 81 |

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment

