



Glacier Point Middle School

4055 N. Bryan Ave. • Fresno, CA 93723 • (559) 276-3105 • Grades 7-8

Heather Kuyper-McKeithen, Principal

hkuyper@centralusd.k12.ca.us

gp.centralunified.org

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Central Unified School District

4605 North Polk Ave.

Fresno, CA 93722

(559) 274-4700

www.centralunified.org

District Governing Board

Mr. Cesar Granda, Area 1

Mr. Ruben Coronado, Area 2

Mr. Phillip Cervantes, Area 3

Mr. Richard Atkins, Area 4

Mr. Richard A. Solis, Area 5

Mrs. Terry Cox, Area 6

Mr. Rama Dawar, Area 7

District Administration

Mr. Mark G. Sutton

Superintendent

Dr. Laurel Ashlock

**Assistant Superintendent, Chief
Academic Officer**

Mr. Kelly Porterfield

**Assistant Superintendent, Chief
Business Officer**

Mrs. Ketti Davis

**Assistant Superintendent,
Professional Development**

Mr. Jack Kelejian

**Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources**

Mrs. Andrea Valadez

**Administrator, Special Education &
Support Service**

Mr. Paul Birrell

Director, 7-12 & Adult Education

Dr. Tami Boatright

Director, K-8 Education

School Description

MISSION:

Glacier Point Middle School is committed to engaging every student in a rigorous, relevant, standards based instruction in every classroom every day to ensure student learning.

VISION:

Glacier Point Middle School is dedicated to assuring that every student is prepared for success in college, career, and community.

Glacier Point Middle School is unique in that it has a large Title 1/EL student population.

The school's has four major school-wide goals relative its mission:

Goal 1: All students will meet standard or better in math and ELA (or will show one year's growth) with special focus on closing the gap for English Learners, students of poverty, and foster youth.

Goal 2: Students will be engaged in a safe, secure learning environment with positive behavior support systems allowing for a focus on preparing for college, career, and community.

Goal 3: Glacier Point will provide equity of access to educational opportunities and create an environment conducive to learning for all students.

Goal 4: Glacier Point will engage families in system-wide programs assuring students/families access to support for academic, social/emotional and physical well-being.

Progress indicators include Performance Task assessments and teacher generated common assessments, aligned to grade level standards. Scores will be housed in the district's Illuminate program that is to be updated regularly and shared with all staff during scheduled weekly PLC meetings for the purpose of monitoring and making the correct educational decisions for groupings, as well as establishing interventions for students not making adequate progress.

A significant percentage of our students come from families that are economically disadvantaged and have few educational resources at home to support learning. These include: Students with Disabilities; At-Risk/Title I students; ELs; GATE students; and Migrant students. The school's academic focus for the 2016-2017 academic school year continues to be on reading comprehension, academic vocabulary, mathematics and writing.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	445
Grade 8	484
Total Enrollment	929

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	11.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	1
Asian	12.1
Filipino	1.4
Hispanic or Latino	61.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1
White	11.1
Two or More Races	1.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	84.3
English Learners	11
Students with Disabilities	9.9
Foster Youth	0.5

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Glacier Point Middle School	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	38	33	45
Without Full Credential	5	7	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	
Central Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	♦	♦	737
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Glacier Point Middle School	14-15	15-16	16-17
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	84.4	15.6
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.8	4.2
High-Poverty Schools	95.8	4.2
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Central Unified Schools maintain current and standards-aligned instructional materials in good repair, for all students. Materials are locally adopted and reflect programs currently approved by the State Board of Education. All materials currently adopted reflect the most recent SBE adoptions and support the current California Frameworks.

Every student, including English Learners, Special Education, and other special populations have their own textbook. In the case of class sets, those sets augment individual texts that have been issued to individual students. These class sets are made based on a commitment of the district administration and the governing board and are not the product of any state requirement.

All instructional materials are subject to a school and district review process. Materials may be piloted and all materials that are selected and recommended for local adoption are reviewed by teachers, administration, and any parents who wish to review the materials. The Central Unified Board of Trustees review and adopt based on the recommendation of the district adoption committees and Educational Services.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 2016	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>McDougal Littell California Literature (Adopted 2009)</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Mathematics	<p>Carnegie Learning Math Course 2 (Grade 7) Adopted 2014-2015 Carnegie Learning Math Course 3 (Grade 8) Adopted 2014-2015 Carnegie Learning - Integrated Math I Adopted 2015-2016</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Science	<p>Holt - Life Science (Grade 8) Adopted 2007-2008</p> <p>Holt - Physical Science (Grade 7) Adopted 2007-2008</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
History-Social Science	<p>Holt, Rinehart & Winston Adopted 2006-2007</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Foreign Language	<p>EMC Paradigm- Accion (Adopted 05-06)</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Site Acreage = 27

Building SF = 94,166

There are 32 classrooms; one multi-purpose room; one gymnasium. There are four athletic fields, including two baseball and softball diamonds.

We have one computer lab, which has a broadcasting room connected to it. We have a large library. The school campus is extremely clean and well kept up. There are two janitors in the daytime and three night custodians.

We have two full time student liaisons to support administration with student safety. A full time Intervention Specialist is employed and coordinates with admin and support staff weekly student behavioral analysis meetings for students needing additional support for improving behavior and academics. Glacier Point also contracts with California State University, Fresno Teaching Fellows to provide mentoring and tutoring for students identified at-risk academically..

Supervision is provided for students beginning at 7:15 AM. During school supervision is provided during break and lunch times. Student liaison provides additional supervision during class periods. After school, supervision provided by student liaisons and administration.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 10/5/2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		Damaged ceiling tiles replaced.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical		X		Exhaust fan not working (work order submitted). Floor outlet cover installed. Lighting ballasts on order for replacement.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			Leaking faucet has been repaired.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X		Plug in candle warmer. Plug in air freshener.
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	44	32	30	48	41	41	60	56	54

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
ELA	20	32	31	39	44	48
Math	14	16	22	26	34	36

Grade Level	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
7	16.4	23.4	23.4

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	493	481	97.6	29.9
Male	262	254	97.0	30.7
Female	231	227	98.3	29.1
Black or African American	56	55	98.2	14.6
Asian	68	67	98.5	32.8
Hispanic or Latino	297	294	99.0	26.5
White	59	55	93.2	54.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	437	426	97.5	28.4
English Learners	57	55	96.5	16.4
Students with Disabilities	52	52	100.0	42.3

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven					
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	442	430	97.3	30.4
	8	493	482	97.8	34.3
Male	7	238	231	97.1	23.9
	8	262	255	97.3	28.6
Female	7	204	199	97.5	37.9
	8	231	227	98.3	40.7
Black or African American	7	55	53	96.4	20.8
	8	56	54	96.4	18.5
Asian	7	48	46	95.8	52.2
	8	68	65	95.6	39.1
Hispanic or Latino	7	277	269	97.1	27.3
	8	297	296	99.7	33.0
White	7	43	43	100.0	34.9
	8	59	56	94.9	52.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	379	369	97.4	25.9
	8	437	428	97.9	33.3
English Learners	7	49	49	100.0	
	8	56	54	96.4	17.0
Students with Disabilities	7	47	47	100.0	4.3
	8	52	51	98.1	23.5

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven					
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	443	431	97.3	18.6
	8	443	431	97.3	18.6
Male	7	239	233	97.5	18.9
	8	239	233	97.5	18.9
Female	7	204	198	97.1	18.2
	8	204	198	97.1	18.2

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven					
Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Black or African American	7	55	53	96.4	9.4
	8	55	53	96.4	9.4
Asian	7	48	47	97.9	42.5
	8	48	47	97.9	42.5
Hispanic or Latino	7	278	269	96.8	14.1
	8	278	269	96.8	14.1
White	7	43	43	100.0	27.9
	8	43	43	100.0	27.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	380	370	97.4	14.9
	8	380	370	97.4	14.9
English Learners	7	49	48	98.0	
	8	49	48	98.0	
Students with Disabilities	7	47	47	100.0	4.3
	8	47	47	100.0	4.3

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

At this time, there are multiple avenues for parents to actively participate at Glacier Point Middle School.

School Site Council; ELAC; PTA; Parent Project; Athletics; and Performing Arts. Parents are also afforded opportunities to participate in a monthly informational meeting called Coffee Talk. Translation and interpretation services are provided.

Contact Person Name: Heather Kuyper, Principal

Contact Person Phone Number: 559-276-3105

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

A school safety plan is in place and addresses the specific criteria of maintaining a safe school. The school safety plan was reviewed with staff on October 26, 2016.

Our goal is to create a safe and orderly environment that is characterized by a climate of respect. We want to teach students to successfully relate to each other and to teachers and school staff in acceptable ways. Expectations about what is acceptable behavior are clearly stated, via our PBIS motto (P: Personal Best; A: Accept Responsibility; W: Wise Choice; S: Show Respect. In addition, consequences for unacceptable behavior are known and applied when appropriate.

Glacier Point Middle School has in existence and execution a school-safe plan, and policies and procedures that address the “orderliness” of the school.

In addition, fire drills are conducted once a month; lock down drills are conducted twice per semester, and earthquake drills are conducted once per semester. Two-way radios are provided as are surveillance cameras.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	11.7	12.8	12.6
Expulsions Rate	1.6	0.7	0.5
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	6.0	5.6	7.4
Expulsions Rate	0.5	0.3	0.4
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	11	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	91.7	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	1.00
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.00
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.00
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.00
Psychologist	1.80
Social Worker	0.00
Nurse	1.00
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.10
Resource Specialist	0.00
Other	0.00
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	901

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Subject	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
English	25	26	27	13	16	11	14	5	3	13	19	17
Mathematics	30	28	28	5	7	4	8	16	16	17	11	10
Science	32	31	32	3	4	4	5	6	4	20	19	21
Social Science	32	32	32	3	4	4	6	2	4	19	22	21

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Professional Development, via training on ELD strategies, reading and writing across the curriculum, and Visible Learning strategies, is an essential component for assisting teachers in effectively integrating quality instructional practices in the classroom. Capacity building at Glacier Point will evolve around the practice of Professional Learning Communities and the CUSD model and resources.

Core department teachers receive two full days department planning to analyze, define, and create assessment calendars that address critical standards, pre-assessments, and post-assessments.

At Glacier Point, student learning outcomes are determined by careful planning in which the 3-phase model is fully implemented with teacher modeling, checking for understanding, and gradual release (guided practice/re-teaching) to independent practice. Teachers receive comprehensive training during our PD around creating clear Learning Outcomes and Criteria for Success as well as the expectation that the students are expected to read, write, speak and listen in every class every day. Through the use of Instructional Rounds, teachers observe and reflect on the use of the strategies being used by their colleagues.

Glacier Point administration has provided a professional development calendar to address the explicit development of a Professional Learning Community. It is the expectation that assignments related to the professional development calendar are completed and practiced.

Professional Development occurs via workshops, department training, and individual mentoring/coaching. Every teacher receives instructional feedback via administrative observations and evaluations. In addition, a full-time literacy instructional coach is provided for all teachers three days per week. In addition, a district math and a district science coach works with the math and science teachers at least one week per month.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,763	\$44,958
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$61,731	\$70,581
Highest Teacher Salary	\$81,368	\$91,469
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$97,728	\$113,994
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$102,715	\$120,075
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$109,802	\$130,249
Superintendent Salary	\$201,759	\$218,315
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	33%	38%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

Think Through Math for Math Intervention and SDC Math classes
 English Language Arts and Math after-school tutorial
 Language! Live program for Reading Intervention and SDC English classes
 Instructional Coaches in math, science and ELA
 Academic Enrichment Center
 Intervention Specialist
 Core instructional planning days

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$4,216.46	\$188.51	\$4,027.95	\$65,605.80
District	♦	♦	\$7,062.53	\$69,563.60
State	♦	♦	\$5,677	\$74,216
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-43.0	-5.7
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-29.0	-11.6

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.