

## J Paul Taylor Academy Charter

## District: State Charters

Grade Range: KN-08 Code: 535001
Grade Range: KN - 08 Code: 535001
Current Standing
How did students perform in the most recent school year? Students are
tested on how well they met targets for their grade level.

## School Growth

In the past 3 years, did the school as a whole increase performance? For example, did a schoolwide reading program advance reading scores over the prior years?

## Student Growth of Highest Performing Students

How well did the school help individual students improve? The highest performing students are those whose prior scores placed them in the top three quarters ( $75 \%$ ) of their school. Individual student growth over the past 3 years is compared to the state benchmark.

## Student Growth of Lowest Performing Students

How well did the school help individual students improve? The lowest performing students are those whose prior scores placed them in the bottom quarter ( $25 \%$ ) of their school. Individual student growth over the past 3 years is compared to the state benchmark.

## Opportunity to Learn

Does the school foster an environment that facilitates learning? Are teachers using recognized instructional methods, and do students want to come to school?



A 9.31
10

## Bonus Points

Does the school show exceptional aptitude for involving students and parents in education, reducing truancy, and promoting extracurricular activities?

4.515


## Details of Each Grade Indicator

These next pages show the school's results divided into smaller groups to show how specific classes of students are doing. The information explains how a school compares to other schools, and identifies groups within the school that are performing well or that need additional instructional support based on achievement. Points that the school earned on each of the indicators are provided in more detail, and when summed will arrive at the totals on the first page summary.

Current
Standing

Knowing how many students are proficient in a given year is a measure of the school's overall success. Single-year performance will vary with differing classes of students. Therefore, Current Standing uses up to 3 years of data to provide a more accurate picture of the school's achievement. Current Standing is augmented with Value Added Modeling (VAM) by capturing the school's size, student mobility, and prior student performance. Details of VAM can be found in the PED's School Grading Technical Guide at: http://webapp2.ped.state.nm.us/SchoolData/SchoolGrading.aspx.

|  | All Students |  | White | Race / Ethnicity |  |  | Am Indian | Economically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Afr <br> Amer | Hisp | Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Reading <br> Proficient and Advanced (\%) | 45.5 | 48.642 .0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 37.9 | 50.0 | >98.0 | 32.0 | 7.4 | <2.0 |
| Proficient and Advanced (Pts) | 3.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added Model (Pts) | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient and Advanced (\%) | 31.5 | 28.634 .5 | 40.3 | <2.0 | 20.5 | <2.0 |  | 20.5 | 19.0 | <2.0 |
| Proficient and Advanced (Pts) | 2.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added Model (Pts) | 5.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3-Year Summary

Performance is considered on grade level when students score either Proficient or Advanced.


## Opportunity

to
The successful school invites students to be part of a thriving learning culture that uses proven teaching methods. A school's Learn (OTL) learning environment is reflected in a survey of classroom practices and in student attendance.


## Bonus Points

While most schools provide a sampling of athletics, club participation opportunities, and parent meetings, a few schools stand out among the rest. These schools are recognized for their extraordinary dedication to keeping students invested in school and their efforts in empowering parents to engage actively in their child's education. Bonus points indicate those schools that have gone above and beyond the others.

## Participation

Schools must include all of their enrolled students in the annual statewide assessment. If the percentage of students is less than $95 \%$, the school's letter grade is reduced by one grade. Supplemental Accountability Model (SAM) schools and small schools with fewer than 100 students receive special consideration.

Reading (\%) 96 96

Math (\%) 97

## Supplemental Information

Similar
Schools

While statewide comparisons are helpful, schools may want to see how they rank next to their peers that have similar students and settings. The figures below show how this school contrasts with other schools in the state that are most like it in student characteristics.

Schools are grouped into categories that have similar proportions of English language learners (ELL), students with disabilities (SWD), ethnicities, economically disadvantaged (ED), and mobile students. Different schools are in each category set. A composite score incorporates all categories into a general measure of at-risk students. Higher ranking schools had more points in that indicator.

| Ranks High <br> Ranks Mid | School Rank |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ranks Low | $\begin{gathered} E L L \\ 0.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline S W D \\ 17.8 \end{array}$ | Ethnicity$39.8$ | $\begin{gathered} E D \\ 33.6 \end{gathered}$ | Mobility$6.6$ | Composite |
| Students (\% Tested) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rank Total | Rank Total | Rank Total | Rank Total | Rank Total | Rank Total |
| Current Standing | 29 ( 45 ) | 26 (45) | 31 ( 43 ) | 41 ( 45 ) | 25 ( 44 ) | 38 ( 45 ) |
| School Growth | 32 ( 45 ) | 28 (45) | 35 ( 43 ) | 43 ( 45 ) | 27 ( 44 ) | 41 ( 45 ) |
| Student Growth, Highest 75\% | 28 ( 45 ) | 27 ( 45 ) | 32 ( 43 ) | 28 ( 45 ) | 28 ( 44 ) | 34 ( 45 ) |
| Student Growth, Lowest 25\% | 30 ( 45 ) | 30 (45) | 37 ( 43 ) | 40 ( 45 ) | 29 ( 44 ) | 39 ( 45 ) |
| Opportunity to Learn | 16 ( 45 ) | 22 ( 46 ) | 18 ( 45 ) | 18 ( 45 ) | 23 ( 45 ) | 15 ( 45 ) |

School Growth Customized targets, called School Growth Targets (SGTs), guide a school's path toward proficiency. These goals increase every year Targets

|  |  | Target | All Students | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | Economically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | English Language Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F |  | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | Am Indian |  |  |  |
| Growth | Reading |  | . 0038 | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | . | N | N | N |
| Lowest 25\% (Q1) | Math | -. 0334 | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | . | Y | Y | N |
| Growth | Reading | -. 0481 | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |
| Highest 75\% (Q3) | Math | -. 0613 | $Y$ | N | Y | N | $Y$ | Y | . | . | Y | N |  |
| Proficiency | Reading | 33.3\% | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |
|  | Math | 17.6\% | $Y$ | Y | $Y$ | $Y$ | N | Y | N |  | Y | Y | N |
| Graduation | ar Cohort | 75.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

School
History

Student performance over time can demonstrate the success of interventions and school reform. Students who score proficient or higher are considered to be performing at grade level. For a more detailed history, see the NMPED website: http://www.ped.state.nm.us/AssessmentAccountability/AcademicGrowth/NMSBA.html.

|  |  | AllStudents | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  | Economically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | English <br> Language <br> Learners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | White | Afr Amer | Hisp | Asian | $\begin{gathered} \text { Am } \\ \text { Indian } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Reading Proficiency | 2015 (\%) |  | 45.5 | 48.6 | 42.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 37.9 | 50.0 | >98.0 | 32.0 | 7.4 | <2.0 |
|  | 2014 (\%) | 65.8 | 70.8 | 60.0 | 63.0 |  | 72.2 |  |  | 62.9 | 37.0 |  |
|  | 2013 (\%) | 65.7 | 75.0 | 55.8 | 66.2 |  | 64.7 |  |  | 61.5 | 48.1 |  |
| Math Proficiency | 2015 (\%) | 31.5 | 28.6 | 34.5 | 40.3 | <2.0 | 20.5 | <2.0 |  | 20.5 | 19.0 | <2.0 |
|  | 2014 (\%) | 57.9 | 56.9 | 58.9 | 67.9 |  | 40.5 |  |  | 50.0 | 51.9 |  |
|  | 2013 (\%) | 63.0 | 66.1 | 59.6 | 67.6 |  | 55.9 |  |  | 51.3 | 51.9 |  |

## Student

Promotion

Students who are prepared and progress to a higher grade each year (matriculate) indicate that the school is successfully moving students toward graduation. However, if the school's achievement in Reading and Math is subpar and yet most students are being promoted, the school may be inattentive to a student's need to repeat grade-level instruction before moving on.

| Percent of students scoring Beginning Step (lowest) in the |  | Gender |  | Race / Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  | Students | English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| higher grade | Students | F | M | White | Amer | Hisp | Asian | Indian | Disadvantaged | Disabilities | Learners |
| Grade 3 to Grade 4 (\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 5 to Grade 6 (\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 8 to Grade 9 (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## End Notes

1 The Statewide C grade was established in the first year of A-F School Grading as the midpoint of all schools. It was fixed in 2011 as the framework for all future letter grades and is not recalculated each year.
2 For high schools that do not have members of 4 -year, 5 -year, or 6 -year graduation cohorts, the scale is abbreviated, and letter grades are adjusted to account for the school's remaining non-cohort indicators or non-cohort years.
3 A dash is substituted where a school has too few students ( $\mathrm{N}<10$ ) to meet confidentiality requirements for reporting.
4 Feeder schools are schools that do not have students in tested grades 3-11.
5 During the 2013-2014 and 2014-15 school years, schools across New Mexico conducted assessments on computers. To recognize these efforts, schools that offered the SBA on computers received bonus credit based on the number of students participating.

